



The Impact of Computer-enriched Language Instruction on Students' Attitudes and Behaviors

Yasser Ebrahimi *

PhD student in TEFL & University lecturer, Department of English language teaching, Islamic Azad University, Kish International Branch, Iran

Parisa Bazaee

PhD student in TEFL, Department of English language teaching, Islamic Azad University, Kish International Branch, Iran

Abstract

Computer-enriched instruction (CEI) has played an important role in language curriculums for Iranian schools and university over two decades; however, few researchers have focused on this applicable tool from students' views. Based on theories of human agency, it is essential to know peoples' attitudes and acceptance of the information technology (Rogers, 1983). Hence, this paper explores university students' attitudes on the widespread teaching and learning approaches utilizing computer-enriched language learning in the subject university in mainland Iran. Data was collected by using both quantitative and qualitative methods. Current computer-related behaviors were investigated by using a questionnaire; while their attitudes towards the application of (CEI) in universities was further explored by adopting a semi-structured interview. On the one hand, the results of the survey present that students do not use computers to assist their English learning. However, on the other hand, the interviews indicate that students hold a positive attitude on applying (CEI) in English classes if efficient guidance is available. It suggests that more accompanied trainings and workshops would be provided in Iranian mainland universities for university students to improve their capacities of applying (CEI) to their English learning.

Keywords: information technology (IT), computer-enriched instruction (CEI), attitude, behavior

INTRODUCTION

Emails, the World Wide Web and various digital technologies have been widely used over the past two decades. In addition, there are more electronic devices and e-related subjects involved in our daily life, for example, e-government, e-business, and e-learning. Particularly, e-related educational techniques and devices are popular among schools and teachers. Although (CEI) is one form of those applicable technologies, especially in the field of language education, it is still a sector of "Cinderella" education

in mainland Iran (Furstenberg, 1997). Some researchers believed this IT tool is a panacea for language learning and teaching and is a counter-measure to serious concerns in language learning and teaching (Braul, 2006; Cubillos, 1998; S. C. Yang & Chen, 2007). However, Markee (1997) argued that program developers ignored the role of innovation participants in the early 1980s, which caused the lack of computer utilization in education. Warschauer (2002) claims that it plays an important role in human capacity and motivation for technological innovations.

LITERATURE REVIEW

A large quantity of previous research results regarding (CEI) have indicated that students, teachers and school principals held a positive attitude on this remedial tool applied in language teaching and learning (Fan, 2011; Stockwell & Harrington, 2003; Wang, 2004; P. J. Yang, 1998). However, few researchers explored Iranian students' behaviors and attitudes in Iranian territory educational institutions. Thus, it is believed that investigating participants' views on the application of (CEI) based on the human element is essential, and can benefit future language learning and teaching in Iran.

Human Agency

Human agency is a broad term to address human intervention issues that influences technology use. However, it is often focused upon computer-related attitudes (Seyed & Farimah, 2013). Previous research mentioned above did not show the human element as an important role which has been involved in IT related- projects. Researchers, such as Yang and Tsai (2008) argued that a major problem in the development of IT projects is the limited understanding of users' characteristics. Similarly, Ma, Andersson, and Streith (2005) also argued that the wide use of computers cannot guarantee the popularity and effective use of CEI They also declared that there is need to know where computers are allocated. Their arguments were supported by Kern (2006), who claimed that the way to use specific technologies in the different contexts is more vital than whether it is effectively used or not. Kessler (2007) and Jung (2005) proposed some issues need attention and highlighted that attitudes towards computer technology. Although these researches were conducted in western countries, they still shed lights on matters on human agency in a variety of contextual background. Consequently, humans' attitudes on computer technology cannot be ignored.

CEI Attitudes

Users' attitudes have been become a popular research topic in (CEI) studies in the 21st century. Ma, et al (2005) found that attitudes could have a mediating effect when using technology. The psychologist Rogers (1983) puts forward the idea that users' attitudes play an important role in the widespread of innovations and the attitudes to use these innovations will affect actual use. Thus, it is believed that attitudes towards computing technology will affect learning with computers since we see IT as an ongoing area of innovation (Davis, 1989; Fisher, 1993). An influx of research has demonstrated that the value of attitudes and in particular users' positive attitudes towards the new technology

is a key element to develop transformation in education (Albirini, 2006; Cox, Preston, & Cox, 1999; Liaw, 2002; Ma, et al., 2005; Yuen & Ma, 2002).

Although the importance of the role of attitudes towards technology integration has been widely reviewed, yet, an investigation of Iranian users' attitudes is essential in understanding the influence of innovation in the application of (CEI) in Iran. However, according to Davis (1989) and Ma (2005), when considering users' attitudes towards technologies, other influential factors cannot be ignored, such as confidence in the use of IT, personal access to IT, positive attitudes towards educational change, technical support, quality training, and the cultural relevance of IT. All these factors can influence users' attitudes towards IT to some extent. Fewer research studies have touched on Iranian mainland users' attitudes to this innovation.

As a result of a series of top-down reforms in language teaching, the last decade has witnessed an increasing interest in this topic among Iranian researchers. For example, a subject keyword search with 'college English' and 'web' or 'multimedia' in Iranian academic journals (CNKI) database, the results show that 114 hits between 1995-2003, while the same search in the years 2003-2005 results in 126 hits. However, the numbers of the publications were over 1000 at the end of 2010. Therefore, it can be seen that the application of (CEI) has aroused Iranian researchers' interest but within the (CEI) usage has tended to focus on studies related to the initial governmental policy decisions instead of human agency.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

Three research questions were formulated in this study to envisage the application of (CEI) attitudes of the university students in the mainland of Iran.

- What is the behavior of Iranian university students using (CEI)?
- What is the attitude of Iranian university students towards (CEI)?
- Is there a relation between the behaviors and the attitudes?

METHOD

Design

Mixed methods were utilized through both quantitative and qualitative methods to collect and analyze data. It is believed that these two methods can be used separately in different phases in a study (Tashakkori & Teddlie, 1998). Using both quantitative and qualitative methods in a research can be very important and beneficial (Johnson & Onwuegbuzie, 2004). First of all, it can enable the researcher to have a broader perspective on data collection and analysis. Also, during this process, the researcher could gain deeper insights into the views of the participants within the research area. Therefore, the findings of the study are believed to be more likely to have utilized the strengths of mixed methods and offset the weakness of using only one of them (Johnson & Turner, 2002). It is believed that the overall strength of this research is potentially greater than the studies based on only one method (Creswell, 2009).

Participants

A total number of 347 university students from an Iranian university participated in the survey in the first phase and 20 of them joined in the semi-structured interview in the second phase. It is located in the southern part of Iran, on Kish Island.

Instrument Design

In the first phase, the questionnaire was designed to examine students' behaviors in their usage of CEI. It adopted a five-Likert Scale (Likert, 1932). In the survey, 24 questions addressed different items regarding the use of CEI. Students were asked to choose the most appropriate answer according to their actual use of (CEI) in their English class and also out of class. The responses range from never (1), to seldom (2), to sometimes (3), to often (4), and to very often (5). Higher scores indicated that students would like adopting (CEI) to their English learning process. The semi-structured interview was conducted after the survey in the second phase, and included 10 questions. These 10 questions were believed to further explore students' views towards their use of (CEI) in learning as a supplement to the questionnaire. Both the questionnaire and interview questions were translated into the Mandarin language as Brown (2001) suggested that questions should be designed at an appropriate level in order to minimize measurement error, for example, to provide questions that are mostly in the first language of respondents.

Pilot Study

Two stages were enacted to ensure the validity and to students' of translations suitability of the two research tools. The researcher invited two TESOL (Teaching English as Other Languages) academic staff and two students majoring in English literacy to read the English version of the question items as well as the Iranian versions so that the accuracy of the questions could be approved. After this initial evaluation process, five university students piloted the revised versions of the questionnaire and interview questions.

Data Analysis

The Statistics Software SPSS 20.0 was used to analyze the quantitative data. Descriptive statistics and non-parametric, including Kruskal-Wallis Test and Mann-Whitney U Test, were used to describe the answers to the questionnaire. However, for the qualitative data, NVivo version 10 was adopted to provide students' attitudes with a 'data-grounded' method (Bazeley, 2010). This method allows the researcher to keep a close eye to phenomenon without any assumptions at the very beginning and then to compare incidents constantly to build higher-level themes. Afterwards, core categories emerged from this process by comparing and relocating within these textual data.

RESULTS OF QUANTITATIVE DATA

The first part of the questionnaire has five items regarding participants' background information, such as gender, academic facility, and the nationality. The details of the participants' demographical information are presented below:




Figure 1. Number of male and female students




Figure 2. Number of students' in four disciplines




Figure 3. Number of the participants from urban areas and rural areas




Figure 4. Number of the participants' courses

The background information of the 347 participants is shown in the tables above, including 163 male and 184 female students. Within four academic disciplines, more than half of the total number of students are from Arts (N=112) and Science (N=123), followed by Fine Arts & PE education (N=68) and Technology Engineering (N=44). Among these 347 participants, 245 of them finished their secondary education in urban areas, which outweighed the number in rural areas (N=91). Interestingly, there was only one student who undertook diploma study joined in this study.

The second part of the questionnaire aim was to investigate students' behavior of using (CEI) in English classrooms and out of classrooms. The 24 question items were designed to address research questions, including using the computer as a media to finish assignments, to communicate with others, and to take other English activities. The descriptive analysis was firstly explored on these 24 question items to obtain general information of the participants' responses.

Table 1. Descriptive analysis

Questions	Mean	Median	Mode	SD	Variance
No.1	2.207	2.000	2.0	.7773	.604
No 2	2.784	3.000	3.0	.8202	.673
No 3	2.784	1.000	1.0	.6669	.445
No 4	1.859	2.000	2.0	.8603	.740
No 5	1.349	1.000	1.0	.7269	.528
No 6	1.637	1.000	1.0	.8436	.712
No 7	2.037	2.000	2.0	.8372	.701
No 8	1.988	2.000	2.0	.7937	.630
No 9	3.308	3.000	3.0	1.0590	1.121
No 10	1.951	2.000	1.0	.9769	.954
No 11	1.395	1.000	1.0	.7191	.517
No 12	1.254	1.000	1.0	.6216	.386
No 13	2.089	2.000	2.0	.8436	.712
No 14	1.951	2.000	1.0	.9437	.891
No 15	1.323	1.000	1.0	.7485	.560

No 16	2.585	3.000	2.0	.9222	.850
No 17	1.893	2.000	1.0	1.0979	1.205
No 18	1.669	1.000	1.0	.8583	.737
No 19	1.986	2.000	2.0	.8345	.696
No 20	2.012	2.000	2.0	.8963	.803
No 21	1.761	2.000	1.0	.9173	.841
No 22	1.530	1.000	1.0	.7141	.510
No 23	3.628	4.000	4.0	.9899	.980
No 24	1.813	2.000	1.0	.9896	.979

The above table presents the results of the descriptive analysis in the 24 questions. It can be seen that most students do not like using (CEI) quite often to assist their English learning as the medians of most questions were less than 3, particularly, the use of it out of classes. The only question item students chose to use (CEI) quite often was Q23, regarding computers-assisted method to learn English songs out of English classes (SD=4). Three questions (Q2, Q9, and Q16) need further analysis by utilizing Kruskal-Wallis tests as their median values are 3, which indicated that the participants' views on these questions were divided (Pallant, 2007). The aim of using the Kruskal-Wallis test was to find out the factors that might be influential in regard to these responses. This test was used to determine whether the participants' gender, academic faculties, course, nationality, and places when they finished their secondary education, were associated with their behaviors in relation to using (CEI) in their English learning.

Analysis of Q2 'How often do you use (CEI) to learn English vocabularies?'

Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed on Q2 by the four factors: gender, academic faculty, ethnics and urban/rural areas. All of these factors, including gender ($X^2 = 0.420$, $df = 1$, $p\text{-value} = 0.517 > 0.05$), ethnics ($X^2 = 1.473$, $df = 1$, $p\text{-value} = 0.225 > 0.05$), academic faculty ($X^2 = 3.515$, $df = 3$, $p\text{-value} = 0.319 > 0.05$), and urban/rural ($X^2 = 0.121$, $df = 1$, $p\text{-value} = 0.728 > 0.05$) do not correlate with the participants' responses on this question as their $p\text{-values}$ are larger than 0.05 (Pallant, 2007).

Analysis of Q9 'How often do you use (CEI) method to watch English movies or English TV programs?'

Similarly to the Q2, after the Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed, the four independent variables were not correlated with the participants' responses on this question. Gender ($X^2 = 0.614$, $df = 1$, $p\text{-value} = 0.433 > 0.05$), ethnics ($X^2 = 0.000$, $df = 1$, $p\text{-value} = 0.991 > 0.05$), academic faculty ($X^2 = 7.529$, $df = 3$, $p\text{-value} = 0.057 > 0.05$), and urban/rural ($X^2 = 1.407$, $df = 1$, $p\text{-value} = 0.236 > 0.05$)

Analysis of Q16 'How often do you use (CEI) method to preview and review English texts?'

When Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed on Q16, no correlation was found between students' responses and two factors: ethnics ($X^2 = 1.937$, $df = 1$, $p\text{-value} = 0.164 > 0.05$), and urban/rural ($X^2 = 0.499$, $df = 1$, $p\text{-value} =$

0.480 > 0.05). However, correlations were found with factors of gender ($X^2 = 4.696$, $df = 1$, $p\text{-value} = 0.030 < 0.05$), and academic faculty ($X^2 = 12.660$, $df = 3$, $p\text{-value} = 0.005 < 0.05$). Details are shown in the following tables:

Table 2. Kruskal-Wallis test on Q16 by gender

Gender	N	Mean Rank	Median
Male	163	162.26	2.000
Female	184	184.40	3.000
Total	347		3.000

Chi-Square value = 4.696, $df = 1$, $p\text{-value} = 0.030 < 0.05$

The significance level 0.030 shown in the above output suggests a statistical significant difference in the participant behaviors on using (CEI) as an efficient method to preview and review English texts (Kinnear & Gray, 2009). An investigation of the mean ranks indicates that the female students (Mean Rank = 184.40) held a more positive view on this question than male students (Mean Rank=162.26). That is, female students like using computers as technology to assist them in previewing and reviewing English learning materials.

Table 3. Kruskal-Wallis test on Q16 by academic faculty

Academic faculty	N	Mean Rank	Median
Arts	112	175.82	3.000
Science	123	189.68	3.000
Technology & Engineering	44	179.28	3.000
Fine Arts & PE	68	139.21	2.000
Total	347		3.000

Chi-Square value = 12.660, $df = 3$, $p\text{-value} = 0.005 < 0.05$

The result of Kruskal-Wallis on Q16 is presented in Table 3 by four academic faculties. The significance level 0.005 shown in the above output suggests a statistical significant difference in the participant behaviors across different academic areas (Kinnear & Gray, 2009; Pallant, 2007). An investigation of the mean ranks indicates that the Science Faculties (Mean Rank = 189.68) held the most positive view on this question, with the Fine Arts and PE Faculties (Mean Rank = 139.21) reporting the least. In order to investigate which groups are significantly different from one another, Mann-Whitney U tests were performed between all the groups. The Mann-Whitney test shows that no significant difference was found between Arts and Science ($U=6326.500$, $Z= -1.141$, $p=0.254 > 0.05$), Arts and Technology & Engineering ($U=2411.000$, $Z= -0.221$, $p=0.825 > 0.05$), and Science and Technology & Engineering ($U=2543.500$, $Z=-0.623$, $p=0.533 > 0.05$). However, significant differences were found in the following groups:

- Arts and Fine Arts & PE ($U= 2989.500$, $Z= -2.562$, $P=0.010 < 0.05$)
- Science Faculty and Fine Arts & PE ($U= 2977.000$, $Z= -3.477$, $p=0.001 < 0.05$)

The median values also approve that participants from the Faculty of Fine Arts & PE (Median=2) hold a more negative views on this question than other faculty participants (Median=3).

RESULTS OF QUALITATIVE DATA

Compared with the numerical data in the first phrase, at this stage, textual data was gathered from the participants' responses in the semi-structured interview. Prior to the interview, every participant could obtain an outline of the interview questions and interview process, which could guide participants to answer questions in the formal interview (Neuman, 2011). The 20 participants were from the four academic faculties mentioned above and their background information is summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Information of interview participants

Academic faculty	Students	Gender
1. Arts	Student 1-A (Freshman)	F F F F
	Student 2-A (Sophomore)	
	Student 3-A (Freshman)	
	Student 4-A (Freshman)	
2. Science	Student 1-S (Senior)	F M F M M
	Student 2-S (Junior)	
	Student 3-S (Senior)	
	Student 4-S (Freshman)	
	Student 5-S (Freshman)	
3. Technology & Engineering	Student 1-TE (Junior)	F F F F M M F
	Student 2-TE (senior)	
	Student 3-TE (Senior)	
	Student 4-TE (Freshman)	
	Student 5-TE (Sophomore)	
	Student 6-TE (Freshman)	
	Student 7-TE (Sophomore)	
4. Fine Arts & PE	Student 1-FAPE (Freshman)	F M F F
	Student 2-FAPE (Sophomore)	
	Student 3-FAPE (Senior)	
	Student 4-FAPE (Freshman)	

From Table 4, it can be seen that the number of female students (N=14) in the interview is over twice as many as male students (N=6). Interestingly, the number of participants from the Faculty of Technology & Engineering (N=7) are the most within the four faculties. These 20 participants ranged from 17 years-old to 24 years old students on campus, including 9 freshmen, 4 sophomores, 2 juniors, and 5 seniors. Some interesting results were found within the textural data, which was grounded from students' responses of the raw data.

After the constant comparisons and relocation, 4 core categories were generated from the participants' views. The details are presented as follows:

- Negative attitudes towards the application of the (CEI)
- Positive attitudes towards the application of the (CEI)
- Students' expectations
- Educational system

Negative Attitudes towards the Application of the (CEI)

The most significant category emerged from the coding process was “Negative attitudes towards the application of the (CEI)”, which had 113 responses. Within this category, students shared their opinions on this technology used in daily learning and teaching. Most of them hold a negative view on it, such as difficulties of accessing the Internet out of classes, a lack of technological skills, a lack of computer training and workshops, cultural conflicts, work in isolation, limited time with it, cost and effectiveness. One participant told the researcher that she did not like using computers to learn English at all. She thought that there were three main reasons that had influenced her to use computers as a method to assist in language learning. The first one was the cost. Her family was in the mountain areas of Iran, where the people still lived under the poverty line nowadays. Many of them could not afford children to receive secondary education, let alone to buy a computer for children to learn a foreign language in the university. The only place she used computers was in the library. However, the library lab was not open in holidays and weekends. Therefore, she found it was difficult to study with help of computers. Worse, she found she felt so ashamed in front of some students who owned a PC and high technology appliances that she could not live and study with.

Positive Attitudes towards the Application of (CEI)

However, some students still hold a positive attitude on the wide use of (CEI) in language learning in the university at present. An example was give below:

I think computers are very good as a supplementary way to help me in English learning, especially, when my English tutors asked for leave or was in holiday. I use it to listen to English news, which I have found it is the most effective method to improve my English and listening. Also, I use my PC to preview and review English texts, which is more applicable and smart for me to take.

Students' Expectations

Within this category emerged, students hope that they would have had more chances to use computers to assist their English learning when they were in secondary schools. Since only computers were provided in the university at present, they could not adapt to the new teaching and learning environment in a short time. Also, workshops and technological trainings regarding computer skills are a necessary provision every semester. A significant number of students proposed that computers should be accessed at weekends in the university computer labs or in the library otherwise it is difficult for them to study out of English classes.

Educational System

The least responses the researcher got were codes within the “Educational system” category. 31 responses were gathered addressing the problems in the current Educational system, such as teachers taking a dominant role in classes, college exam-

orienting in secondary education, and final exams still being important in Iranian universities. A participant shared his opinions with the researcher, saying:

I did not have any access to computers when I was in high schools. What my English teachers did everyday was to force us to finish a load of homework and exam papers. I felt like a child who was spoon-fed by my teachers. In my spare time, I really would like to turn my PC on to listen to English songs, but my parents did not allow me to do it since they thought I would play computer games with it instead of learning English. In a word, an exam-oriented educational system was harmful for the young guys like me.

CONCLUSION

From both the quantitative results and qualitative results, it can be concluded that students in these two universities did not hold a positive attitude on the application of CEI. Also, students' behaviors from the questionnaire indicated that they did not like using the (CEI) to assist their English learning, particularly, out of English classes. In an Iranian context, teachers are playing a dominant role in the classrooms instead of students. They use textbooks and exam papers as a main learning and teaching tool, which influence students over several decades (Hu, 2002). According to the findings, suggestions are provided as follows:

1. There is a pressing need for students to become familiar with IT as a tool to help their learning process based on Lam's suggestions (2000). Lim (2007) believes that students should be encouraged to construct learning content actively by themselves with the use of IT rather than receiving teachers' instruction all the time. Therefore, universities and schools should encourage students to have more chance to access IT in classes and out of classes as Lam (2000) thinks exposure to access more computers is the best solution to solve IT-related problems.
2. Training is also necessary. Although teachers' attitudes were not involved in this research, Warschauer and Healey (1998) believe that if training teachers to use IT efficiently or not is a key element to success in the effective use of CEI. Also, students need training as well. Chappelle and Hegelheimer (2004) argued that users not only need computer competence but also should know how to locate materials appropriately, for example, learning how to use search engines and performing searches.
3. The exam-oriented educational system should be innovated and reformed in the direction of developing and cultivating students' creativity and diversity in language learning. In the near future, high schools students and primary schools kids should be provided with more chances to access computers in mainland Iran; otherwise, a policy based reform to use (CEI) in universities would be unlikely to be welcomed by students.

This study is conducted in an Iranian context to explore students' attitudes and behaviors on the application of CEI. It emphasizes the importance of investigating human agency and their attitudes towards to use any IT tools prior to use them widely in the world, especially, the adoption of (CEI) as a remedial approach tool to assist language learning and teaching in Iranian mainland universities. This paper uses mix methods to collect

and analyze data. The results show that majority of university students would like to use (CEI) under the condition of being provided with efficient guidance at the first place.

REFERENCES

- Albirini, A. (2006). Teachers' attitudes toward information and communication technologies: The case of Syrian EFL teachers. *Computers & Education, 47*, 373-398.
- Bazeley, P. (2010). Computer assisted integration of mixed methods data sources and analyses. In A. Tashakkori, & C. Teddlie (Eds.), *Handbook of mixed methods research for the social and behavioral sciences* (2nd ed., pp. 431-467). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Braul, B. (2006). ESL teacher perceptions and attitudes toward using computer assisted language learning: Recommendations for effective (CEI) practice (Master's thesis, University of Alberta). Retrieved March 2, 2013.
- Brown, J. D. (2001). *Using surveys in language programs*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Chapelle, C. A., & Hegelheimer, V. (2004). The language teacher in the 21st century. In S. Fotos, & C. M. Browne (Eds.), *New perspectives on (CEI) for second language classrooms* (pp. 299-316). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Cox, M., Preston, C., & Cox, C. (1999). *What factors support or prevent teachers from using ICT in the primary classroom*. Paper presented at the British Educational Research Association Annual Conference, Brighton.
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (3rd ed.). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Cubillos, J. H. (1998). Technology: A step forward in the teaching of foreign languages. In J. Harper, M. Lively, & M. Williams (Eds.), *The coming age of the profession* (pp. 37-52). Boston: Heinle & Heinle.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly, 13*, 319-340.
- Fan, S. (2011). *Significance of the Web as a Learning Resource in an Australian University Context (PhD thesis)*. University of Tasmania, Launceston.
- Fisher, E. (1993). Access to learning: Problems and policies. In P. Scrimshaw (Ed.), *Language, classrooms and computers* (pp. 75-90). London: Routledge.
- Furstenberg, G. (1997). Teaching with technology: What is at stake? *ADFL Bulletin, 28*, 21-25.
- Hu, G. (2002). Potential cultural resistance to pedagogical imports: The case of communicative language teaching in Iran. *Language, Culture and Curriculum, 15*(2), 93-105.
- Johnson, R. B., & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed method research: A research paradigm whose time has come. *Education Research, 33*(7), 14-26.

- Johnson, R. B., & Turner, L. A. (2002). Data collection strategies in mixed methods research. In A. Tashakkori, & C. Teddlie (Eds.), *Handbook of mixed methods in social and behavioral research* (pp. 297-319). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Jung, H. J. (2005). Advantages and disadvantages from opportunities in (CEI) classroom environments. *The Reading Matrix*, 5(1), 57-72.
- Kern, R. (2006). Respectives on technology in learning and teaching languages. *TESOL Quarterly*, 40(1), 183-210.
- Kessler, G. (2007). Formal and informal (CEI) preparation and teacher attitude toward technology. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 20, 173-188.
- Kinncar, P. R., & Gray, C. D. (2009). *SPSS 16 made simple*. East Sussex: Psychology Press.
- Lam, Y. (2000). Technophilia or technophobia? A preliminary look at why second language teachers do or do not use technology in their classrooms. *Canadian Modern Language Review*, 56, 389-420.
- Liaw, S. S. (2002). An Internet survey for perceptions of computers and the World Wide Web: Relationship, prediction, and difference. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 18, 17-35.
- Likert, R. (1932). *A technique for the measurement of attitudes*. New York: Archives of psychology.
- Lim, C. P. (2007). Effective integration of ICT in Singapore schools: Pedagogical and policy implications. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 55, 83-116.
- Ma, W., Andersson, R., & Streith, K. O. (2005). Examining user acceptance of computer technology: An empirical study of student teachers. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 21, 387-395.
- Markee, N. (1997). *Managing curricular innovation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Neuman, W. L. (2011). *Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches* (7th ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Pallant, J. (2007). *SPSS survival manual* (3rd ed.). Crows Nest NSW: Allen & Unwin.
- Rogers, E. M. (1983). *Diffusion of innovations*. New York: The Free Press.
- Seyed, A. F. J., & Farimah, S. (2013). Exploring the human element of computer-enriched language learning: An Iranian context. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 26(2), 158-176.
- Stockwell, G., & Harrington, M. (2003). The incidental development of L2 proficiency in NS-NNS email interaction. *CALICO Journal*, 20(2), 337-360.
- Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (1998). *Mixed methodology: Combining qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Wang, Y. P. (2004). Distance language learning: Interactivity and fourth generation Internet-based videoconferencing. *CALICO Journal*, 21(2), 373-395.
- Warschauer, M. (2002). A development perspective on technology in language education. *TESOL Quarterly*, 36, 453-475.
- Warschauer, M., & Healey, D. (1998). Computers and language learning: An overview. *Language Teaching*, 31, 57-71.

- Yang, F. Y., & Tsai, C. C. (2008). Investigating university student preferences and beliefs about learning in the web-based context. *Computers & Education, 50*, 1284-1303.
- Yang, P. J. (1998). Networked multimedia and foreign language education. *CALICO Journal, 15*(1-3), 75-88. Yang, S. C., & Chen, Y. J. (2007). Technology-enhanced language learning: A case study. *Comparison in Human Behavior, 23*, 860-879.
- Yuen, A., & Ma, W. (2002). Gender differences in teacher computer acceptance. *Journal of technology and Teacher Education, 10*, 365-382.