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Abstract
The current study is an attempt to investigate the relationship between the breadth and the depth of lexical knowledge and reading comprehension of Iranian EFL learners. The current study also tries to find the effect of gender and language proficiency level of learners on the breadth and the depth of lexical knowledge and reading comprehension of Iranian EFL learners. The participants of the study were fifty-two intermediate and advanced level in males and females majoring in English Translation at Pazhuheh University of Isfahan. The level of language proficiency of participants had been checked through an OPT by the university in advance. Data collection was carried out in two sessions. In the first session, two vocabulary knowledge tests, that is, the breadth and the depth were administered to the participants. In the second session, the reading comprehension test was administered to the participants. The results of the data analysis revealed that there is a significant relationship between breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge and Iranian advanced/intermediate EFL learner’s reading comprehension performance totally. The results further showed that gender and language proficiency level of learners have an effect on Iranian EFL learners’ reading performance and vocabulary knowledge. The findings can have implications for EFL learners, teachers and material developers.

Keywords: breadth of vocabulary knowledge, depth of vocabulary knowledge, lexical knowledge, reading comprehension, Iranian EFL learners, gender, proficiency

INTRODUCTION
Vocabulary has long been considered as an essential and strong factor of reading achievement. While the relationship between two aspects of vocabulary knowledge, that is, breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension has been a focus of investigation among first language (L1) reading researchers (Beck, Perfetti & McKeown, 1982; Mezynski, 1983). According to Or donez, Carlo, Snow, and Mclaughlin (2002), breadth and depth are regarded as two main dimensions of vocabulary
knowledge. They proposed that vocabulary knowledge is assessed as a number of words known, or breadth of vocabulary, it is now clear that the richness of the demonstration of the words known is also a major aspect of variability. This aspect refers to vocabulary depth. Reading is one of the important skills to be learned in any language. It is used as a means of consolidating and extending knowledge of the language.

Reading is the construction of the meaning of the text. It is an active and strategic process, in which the reader's skill and knowledge interact with the characteristics of the text such as genre, the wording and structure of the text. (Schellings, Aarnoutse, & Leeuwe, 2006, p. 550).

Harris and Hodges (1995) believe that comprehension can be considered as an intentional thinking during which meaning is constructed through interactions between reader and text. Laufer (1997) stated that reading comprehension (both in L1 and L2) is affected by the vocabulary knowledge in a text, textually related background knowledge and the application of general reading strategies, such as predicting the content of the context, guessing unknown words in text, making inferences, recognizing the type of text, and grasping the main idea of the text. However, knowledge of vocabulary has been considered as the most significant element of reading.

According to Nassaji (2004), one type of knowledge source that is related to the learner's ability to read texts is knowledge of vocabulary. It is definite that vocabulary is necessary for reading comprehension. Nevertheless, what it means to know a word. Therefore, Beck, McKeown, and Kucan (2002) believe that a large and rich vocabulary is the hallmark of an individual. Definitely, a large vocabulary collection facilitates becoming an educated person as far as vocabulary knowledge is strongly related to reading proficiency in particular and school achievement in general. Sunderland (2010) stated that the relationships between vocabulary and gender are not enduring, but may be context and test type-specific with other “third factors” such as L1, age or proficiency level of learners, influencing them. Therefore, gender is acknowledged as a complex and nuanced issue. Reading is one of the main ways to get information. According to Brudice (2009), reading success depends on some factors such as the levels of readers' language proficiency, types of text, text difficulty, and task demands.

Researchers have suggested several models to describe the relationship between lexical knowledge and reading comprehension. According to Hu and Nation (2000), the factors involved in these models contain language knowledge, knowledge of the world, and skill in language use.

Anderson and Freebody (1981) presented three hypotheses labeled “instrumentalist”, “aptitude” and “knowledge”. The first view, the instrumentalist view, describes vocabulary knowledge as being a major prerequisite and causative factor in comprehension. In fact, good vocabulary knowledge enables good comprehension. The second view, the aptitude view, describes vocabulary knowledge as one of many outcomes of having a good brain. Good reading comprehension is one of these
outcomes. Other outcomes include skill at non-verbal puzzles and the ability to understand oral explanations. The third view, the knowledge view, describes vocabulary as an indicator of good world knowledge. Actually, this world knowledge or background knowledge supports reading comprehension because the reader need to bring as much information to the text as the reader expects to get from it. It is difficult to read about astrophysics if you do not know anything about it.

Mezynski (1983) proposed a fourth “access” hypothesis. The access view of the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension describes vocabulary as having a causal relationship with comprehension provided that the vocabulary can be easily accessed. Access can be improved through practice. This access can include some factors such as fluency of lexical access, speed of coping with affixed forms, and speed of word recognition. Taken into consideration the complex nature of vocabulary knowledge as a multi-dimension construct, L2 learners do need both quantity and quality of vocabulary knowledge to understand and express meaning in the interaction.

Second language (L2) vocabulary researchers have suggested various but complementary frameworks for vocabulary knowledge. Most researchers reached agreement that vocabulary knowledge is not an all-or-nothing phenomenon, but includes degrees of knowledge. In these frameworks (Richards, 1976; Henriksen, 1999; Qian, 2002), there is a common agreement that knowledge of vocabulary should be regarded as a multi-dimensional construct. Consequently, they suggested it should be constructed as a continuum, or continua, consisting of several levels and dimensions of knowledge.

Much of what is written in word knowledge goes back to the vocabulary knowledge framework of Richards (1976). He recognized seven aspects of word knowledge (e.g. syntactic behavior, associations, semantic value, different meanings, underlying form and derivations). According to the continuum perspective, Henriksen (1999) proposed three dimensions to look at vocabulary knowledge: (i) a partial-to-precise knowledge dimension that levels of knowledge are operationalized as degrees of understanding; (ii) a depth-of-knowledge dimension which indicates the multi-aspect nature of word knowledge, and extends to a word’s syntagmatic and paradigmatic relationships with other words; and (iii) a receptive-productive dimension that refers to the mastery levels of vocabulary knowledge revealed in the learners’ comprehension and production abilities. Henriksen (1999) believed that the first two dimensions are related to comprehension of word knowledge while the third dimension is associated with the ability to access and use a word.

Qian’s (2002) vocabulary knowledge framework comprises four intrinsically connected dimensions: (1) vocabulary size, that refers to the number of words of which a learner has at least some superficial knowledge of meaning; (2) depth of vocabulary knowledge, that refers to all lexical features, such as phonemic, graphemic, morphemic, syntactic, semantic, collocational, and, as well as frequency and register; (3) lexical organization, that refers to the storage, connection, and representation of words in the mental lexicon
of learners; and finally; (4) automaticity of receptive-productive knowledge, that refers to all the fundamental processes to access the word knowledge for receptive and productive purposes, containing of phonological and orthographic encoding and decoding, access to structural and semantic characteristics from the mental lexicon, lexical-semantic integration and representation, and morphological parsing and composing.

The importance of different factors in these dimensions will vary according to the specific purpose of language use. In all the frameworks reviewed, there is an agreement that vocabulary knowledge should at least comprise two dimensions that are vocabulary breadth, and depth of vocabulary knowledge. Therefore, the present study attempts to explore the relationship between breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension of Iranian EFL learners. It also examines the effect of gender and language proficiency level of learners on vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension of Iranian EFL learners.

**Statement of the problem**

The relationship between vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension is dynamic and difficult. Investigating the relationship between knowledge of vocabulary and reading comprehension has had a long history in the research of English as a Second Language (ESL/EFL) or English as a Foreign Language reading. Without knowledge of words, understanding sentences or texts is not possible. According to several researches, it has been recognized that knowledge of words is multidimensional and includes various types of knowledge. For EFL/ESL learners, the relationships between vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension are complex. These difficulties and complications can stem from the learners are able to read in their first language and the common situation of beginning to read the target language with almost no vocabulary knowledge in their target language. That shows that for EFL/ESL learners, the vocabulary knowledge plays a key role in reading comprehension. Therefore, the present study attempts to explore the relationship between breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension of Iranian EFL learners. It also investigates the effect of gender and language proficiency level of learners on vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension of Iranian EFL learners.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

**Vocabulary Knowledge and Reading Comprehension**

There are some studies conducted to examine the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension.

Koda (1989) considered 24 college students learning Japanese as a foreign language. Consequently, he found out strong relationships between vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension performance.
Huang (1999) measured the effects of vocabulary knowledge and content knowledge on reading comprehension of students. The participants were 246 university juniors who were non-English majors. The participants of the study took several tests. Nation’s (1990) Vocabulary Levels Test, an English passage, a recall protocol, and the Inventory of Content Knowledge and Interest Questionnaire. The results revealed that university students’ comprehension of English text depends on vocabulary knowledge and content knowledge, but their comprehension depends more on knowledge of vocabulary. Undoubtedly, L2 reading comprehension depends strongly on knowledge of vocabulary.

Gelderen, Schoonen, Glooper, Hulstijn, Simis, Snellings, and Steven (2004) run English vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension tests to 397 Dutch students from Grade 8 to Grade 10 in secondary education. As a result, they found out a correlation of .63.

Rouhi and Mousapour Negari (2013) explored the role of the breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge in reading comprehension of Iranian EFL students. The participants were 50 EFL students. Three tests, namely, VLA, WAT and RCT were administered. The findings revealed that breadth, depth of vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension were positively correlated to each other, both the breadth and depth were of equal importance in Iranian EFL learners’ success in reading comprehension performance, and breadth of vocabulary knowledge related more strongly to the success of Iranian EFL learners’ in reading comprehension performance than depth of vocabulary knowledge.

Vocabulary Breadth and Reading Comprehension

In L2 research, the importance of vocabulary breadth in reading comprehension has been highlighted (Liu & Nation, 1985). Laufer (1996, 1992) concluded that there are high interrelations between the vocabulary breadth and reading comprehension.

Laufer (1992) conducted a study on 92 university students whose native language was either Hebrew or Arabic. She administered two tests of vocabulary, namely, the Vocabulary Levels Test (Nation, 1983) and Eurocentric Vocabulary Test (Meara & Jones, 1990) which needed the participants to say ‘yes’ or ‘no’ to show whether they knew the meaning of a target word. Reading comprehension was also measured by two standardized reading tests: the reading comprehension section of Examen Hoger Algermeen Vortgzet Onderwijs, comprising of two texts with 20 multiple-choice questions, and an English sub-test of the Israel university psychometric entrance test with 40 multiple-choice questions. The correlation between VLT and the reading comprehension was .50 (P<.0001) and that between the Eurocentres Vocabulary Test on reading comprehension was .75 (p< .0001).

Laufer (1996) examined the relationship between the vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension of 80 university students of similar L1 backgrounds in Israel. She reported that the correlation between students’ scores on reading comprehension and on the VLT was .71 (p< .0001). Qian (1999) studied the relationships between
vocabulary breadth and reading comprehension. Therefore, he stated that there were stronger correlations in the study of 44 Korean learners and 33 Chinese learners using version A of the VLT and the Reading Comprehension section of the TOEFL. The relationship between the two VLT and reading comprehension test was .78.

Sen and Kuleli (2015) determined the effects of vocabulary breadth and vocabulary depth on reading performance in EFL context. Therefore, a Vocabulary Size Test by Nation and Beglar (2007), Words Associate Test by Read (1998), and a reading comprehension test were run with 361 university students. The findings revealed that vocabulary breadth and depth of vocabulary were both significantly correlated with reading comprehension, but depth of vocabulary knowledge predicted reading comprehension better.

**Vocabulary Depth and Reading Comprehension**

There are some studies conducted to examine the relationship between depth of vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension. Qian (1999, 2002) concluded that in reading comprehension, both depth and breadth of vocabulary knowledge play a key role, and some features of depth of vocabulary knowledge, synonymy and polysemy and collocation, are main variables.

Qian (2002) examined the depth and breadth of vocabulary knowledge in reading for basic comprehension in university-level academic settings. He assessed the usefulness of the Depth of Vocabulary Knowledge (DVK) test. Participants of his study were 217 international learners speaking 19 different native languages. Findings from this study supported Qian's earlier outcomes that (1) scores on depth of vocabulary knowledge were correlated with reading comprehension ($r = .77, p < .01$) and (2) the DVK was a reliable measure, that has contributed significantly to the assessment of reading comprehension. Therefore, Qian (2002) stated that scores gained from this instrument explained close to 60% of the variance in the reading scores.

Qian and Schedle (2004) conducted a study to realize whether depth of vocabulary knowledge could be used as a basis to design useful item types for assessing test takers’ reading comprehension. It was done on 207 international students attending an ESL program in a major Canadian University. Thus, the results of the study indicated that depth of vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension had the similar difficulty levels and both had similar relations with reading comprehension. They also found out that the new measure had the same difficulty level compared to existing TOEFL vocabulary measures, and provided a similar amount of prediction of ESL learners’ reading performance.

**Gender and Reading Comprehension**

There are some studies conducted to examine the relationship between gender and reading comprehension. Young and Oxford's (1997) study with native English speaking men and women ($n = 23$ males and 26 females) examined comprehension and strategies involved in reading two Spanish texts and one English text. The passages
were taken from the textbooks applied at the course levels of the learners. Text topics of the passages were economics, the presence of foreign cultures in work, leisure, and history. The results showed no significant differences by gender in recall scores for all text topics. There were no differences by gender in the familiarity with passage topics of any of the passages.

Brantmeier (2002) used the same passages utilized by Young and Oxford's (1997) study with comprehension assessment tasks with two groups of learners from advanced university grammar and literature courses (23 males and 53 females in grammar courses and 9 males and 47 females in literature courses). Male participants were more familiar with the topic of boxing than the females did, and female participants were more familiar with the topic of a frustrated housewife than the males did. Furthermore, findings revealed no gender differences in comprehension of the gender-oriented passages at the more advanced stages of acquisition. The findings showed that although differences in topic familiarity are continued across instruction levels, the effects of passage content on reading comprehension by gender are not continued when the intermediate level texts are read by more advanced learners.

Pae (2004) considered the effect of gender on English reading comprehension for Korean EFL learners. The gender effect was measured by using a DIF (Differential Item Functioning) methodology. Gender DIF was investigated in a random sample of 14,000 Korean participants (7,000 males and 7,000 females) who took the English sub-test of the 1998 Korean National Entrance Exam for Colleges and Universities. The findings reveal that items categorized as Mood/Impression/Tone are easier for females, while items classified as Logical Inference are more likely to favor males without considering the item content. Further content analysis indicates that passage content cannot be considered as a reliable factor that predicts relations between gender and performance in reading comprehension, therefore suggesting that further studies about gender effect on second language reading comprehension should consider the item type as well as item content.

Sallabas (2008) determined the effect of gender in the process of reading comprehension performance and developing attitude towards reading. The findings show that there is a significant difference between the two genders over reading comprehension. Indeed, girls are better at reading comprehension than boys. Furthermore, it reveals that gender affects attitude towards reading.

Agustín and Gallego (2012) examined the relationship between gender differences and vocabulary knowledge. They reported that female learners showed higher vocabulary gains than males in receptive vocabulary size acquisition.

The objectives of the study can be expressed in the following research questions:

- Is there any relationship between breadth of lexical knowledge and Iranian advanced/intermediate EFL learners' reading comprehension performance?
- Is there any relationship between depth of lexical knowledge and Iranian advanced/intermediate EFL learners’ reading comprehension performance?
- Does gender have any effect on Iranian advanced/intermediate EFL learners’ reading performance and vocabulary knowledge?
- Does language proficiency level have any effect on Iranian advanced/intermediate EFL learners’ reading performance and vocabulary knowledge?

METHODOLOGY

Participants

The participants of the study were 52 male and female university students (26 male and 26 female) with an age range of 20 to 30, majoring in English language Translation at Pazhuhesh University of Isfahan, Iran. They were the students of two classes (advanced and intermediate). All of them had the same language background, Persian. Their proficiency level had been checked through a standardized proficiency test (Objective Placement Test, Lesley, Hanson, & Zukowski-Faust, 2005) by the university in advance, and they were grouped in advanced, intermediate, and lower level learners in three classes in advance by the university. Consequently, for the purpose of this study, only advanced and intermediate level learners were chosen. Thus, in the advanced class, there were 26 learners who were 13 males and 13 females. In the intermediate class, there were 26 learners who were 13 males and 13 females too.

Instruments

For the purpose of data collection, four kinds of tests were utilized as delineated below:

Objective Placement Test

The proficiency level of participants had been checked through an Objective Placement Test by the university in advance, and they were grouped in advanced, intermediate, and lower level learners in three classes in advance by the university.

Vocabulary Levels Test (VLT)

This is a test of knowledge of English vocabulary used to measure the breadth of learners’ vocabulary knowledge. It was developed by Nation (1983) and validated by Schmitt, Schmitt, and Clapham (2001). The vocabulary size test used in this study was a revised and expanded version of the Vocabulary Levels Tests (Schmitt et al., 2001). In the present study, Version 1 of the test was administered (see Schmitt et al. for the test), which had five word frequency levels: the 2,000-word level, the 3,000-word level, the 5,000-word level, and the 10,000-word level. Furthermore, it contained a section for academic vocabulary.

The reliability indices for all five levels were high (i.e., 0.92, 0.92, 0.92, 0.95, and 0.91, respectively) as stated by Schmitt et al. (2001). Each section showed a different
vocabulary level in English ranging from high-frequency to low-frequency words. Each level had 10 test items, each containing six words on the left and three short definitions on the right. Test takers must match the three short definitions with three of the six words on the left. The items were decontextualized so that no clues to the meaning were provided. A sample test item is illustrated below.

- 1 business
- 2 clock
- 3 horse ... 6 ... part of a house
- 4 pencil ... 3 ... animal with four legs
- 5 shoe ... 4 ... something used for writing
- 6 wall

As it is displayed, participants should match each meaning to the appropriate number of the correct word. In relation to the test scoring procedure, each word correctly chosen was awarded one point, the maximum possible score being 150 for the same number of words. In scoring, Nation (1983) announces that a score of 12 or less out of 18 (66.67%) at a vocabulary size level signals that this level has not been mastered well. Based on Nation's scoring, the researchers supposed that a score of 20 out of 30 at each level reveals level's mastery. Since the VLT in this study comprised five levels, the highest possible score was 150 (1 point x 30 items x 5 levels). The reliability of the test with a time interval of two weeks was 0.95.

**Word Associates Test (WAT)**

Depth of vocabulary knowledge was measured by Word Associates Test (WAT) which was developed by Read (1993, 1998, and 2000). The WAT tried to measure the learner's depth of vocabulary knowledge through word associations, that is, the different semantic and collocational relationships that a word had with other words in the language. The test consisted of 40 items. Each item consisted of one word, which was an adjective and two boxes, each containing four words which were mostly nouns. Among the four words in the left box, one to three words could be synonymous to one aspect of, or the whole meaning of the stimulus word, but among the four words in the right box, there could be one to three words that collocate with the stimulus word. The instruction sheet for the test taken was explained that there were four correct answers in each item. Three situations were possible:

1) The left and right boxes both contained two correct answers;

2) The left box consisted of one correct answer and the right box contained three correct answers;

3) The left box contained three correct answers and the right box consisted of only one correct answer.

For example, for the word *Sound*, the following options were given:
**Sound:**

A) Logical, B) healthy, C) bold, D) solid, E) snow, F) temperature, G) sleep, H) dance.

In this item, sound was synonymous with logical, healthy and solid. Furthermore, it collocated with sleep, consequently, the correct choices were A, B, D, and G. Participants received a point for each correctly answered item. In scoring, each option chosen correctly was awarded one point. The maximum possible score, therefore, was 160 for the 40 items. The WAT had been administered in a number of lexical studies to measure depth of vocabulary knowledge (Nassaji, 2004; Qian, 1999, 2002). The split-half reliability of the test in the study by Qian (2002) was reported 0.89. The reliability indices (Cronbach’s alpha) for this test was high (i.e., 0.92) as reported by Read (1993, 1998, and 2000). However, to further ensure the reliability of the test, after the data collection, it was run with participants randomly selected from among the ones who had taken part in the study, with a time interval of two weeks was 0.91.

**Reading comprehension test (RCT)**

As the last data collection instrument, and in order to investigate the learners’ comprehension ability, an academic reading section of IELTS test was chosen to measure the learners reading comprehension performance. The academic reading comprehension test contained four passages with 30 multiple choice questions in total. Participants had 60 minutes to complete the test. In scoring, one point was allocated to each correct answer given to a multiple choice question. The maximum possible score was 30. The test-retest reliability index was 0.88.

**Procedures**

In this study the tests were administered in two sessions at the Pazhuhesh University of Isfahan. During session 1, the two tests measuring the breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge, namely, the Vocabulary Levels Test and the Word-Associates Test were run consecutively with a short break in between to all participants. During session two, the reading comprehension test was administered to all participants. They had been told not to make a random choice but rather decide carefully. Before taking the tests, the general purpose of the study had been explained to the students. They had been given detailed instructions on how to take the tests and had been assured of the confidentiality of the results. Therefore, they had been told that their performance on the test had not affected their course outcome. Participants had been asked to write down their genders and proficiency levels on their sheets for the purpose of the study.

**Data Analysis**

To carry out the statistical analysis, Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows Vista Home Premium was used to run statistical analysis of the instruments. Pearson Product-Moment correlation and independent Sample t-test were the main statistical techniques chosen to meet the research purpose of the current study. Considering the first and second research questions, Pearson Product-Moment
correlation was run to measure the breadth and depth of lexical knowledge and their relationships on reading comprehension of Iranian EFL learners. Six separate independent Sample t-tests were run to determine whether the differences between the vocabulary knowledge (breadth and depth) and reading comprehension of the two proficiency levels of the participants (advanced and intermediate) and gender were statistically significant or not.

RESULTS

In this section the results of the present study presented.

Descriptive Statistics of Participants’ Performance on the Instruments

After the collection of the data through the instruments described above, the data were analyzed. Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics of the participants’ performance on the instruments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breadth</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>94.63</td>
<td>12.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>145.00</td>
<td>109.71</td>
<td>17.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>20.71</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the table shows, the mean scores of breadth of vocabulary knowledge, vocabulary depth and reading comprehension are 94.63, 109.71, and 20.71 respectively.

The Relationship between Breadth and Reading Comprehension

Hypothesis 1: There is no relationship between breadth of vocabulary knowledge and Iranian advanced/intermediate EFL learner’s reading comprehension performance.

In order to calculate the relationship between breadth of vocabulary knowledge and Iranian EFL learner’s reading comprehension performance, Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated at .01 level of significance. The results obtained from these computations are presented in the following matrix of correlations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Breadth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadth</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.642**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**, Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
Table 2 shows the correlations between the vocabulary breadth, and reading comprehension scores to reveal the strength of association between them. Therefore, one can see that the learners’ reading comprehension performance was considerably correlated with their breadth of vocabulary that was observed at the level of one percent error \((r = .64, p < .01)\), indicating that a larger vocabulary enabled students to remember more information from the text they read. So, there is a direct and significant relationship between breadth test scores and reading test scores of students, and by increasing breadth test scores, reading test scores had been increased and vice versa. So, the H01 was rejected.

**The Relationship between Depth and Reading Comprehension**

**Hypothesis 2:** There is no relationship between depth of vocabulary knowledge and Iranian advanced/intermediate EFL learner’s reading comprehension performance.

In order to determine the relationship between depth of vocabulary knowledge and Iranian EFL learner’s reading comprehension performance, their correlation coefficients were calculated at .01 level of significance. The results obtained from these computations are presented in the following matrix of correlations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.709**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).**

Table 3 shows the correlations between the vocabulary depth, and reading comprehension scores to elucidate the strength of association between them. With regard to the depth of vocabulary knowledge as another variable of the study, as far as the results of the above statistical analysis reveal, there was a high and significant correlation between this variable and reading comprehension \((r = .70, p < .01)\) which suggests that deeper knowledge of words help learners comprehend the text better. Furthermore, there is a direct and significant relationship between depth test scores and reading test scores of students, and by increasing depth test scores, reading test scores had been increased and vice versa. So, H02 was rejected.

**The Effect of Gender on Vocabulary Knowledge and Reading Comprehension**

**Hypothesis 3:** The gender does not have any effect on Iranian advanced/intermediate EFL learners’ reading performance and vocabulary knowledge.
In order to determine whether the variable gender can have an effect on reading comprehension, and vocabulary knowledge, some further analyses of the data were performed. To make sure whether the variable gender can have an effect on the other variables of the study, three independent Samples t-tests were performed. The results obtained from these analyses are depicted in the following tables.

Table 4 summarizes the results of the t-test for the males and females’ scores and the vocabulary breadth test.

**Table 4. Independent Sample t-test for Gender and Vocabulary Breadth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error Difference</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breadth</td>
<td>-2.308</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>-7.962</td>
<td>3.450</td>
<td>-14.891 to -1.032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the table shows, there is statistically significant difference between males and females’ performance on the vocabulary breadth test either (t= -2.308, df=50 p<0.05). In other words, gender had effect on learners’ vocabulary breadth. To compare the test scores between males and females under equal variances, t test was used in both groups.

Table 5 summarizes the results of the t-test for the males and females’ scores and the vocabulary depth test.

**Table 5. Independent Sample t-test for Gender and Vocabulary Depth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error Difference</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>-2.831</td>
<td>42.341</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>-13.115</td>
<td>4.632</td>
<td>-22.461 to -3.770</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the results of the above analysis shows, there is statistically significant difference between the males and females’ scores on vocabulary depth test (t= -2.831, df=42.3, p<0.05). In other words, both male and female learners performed differently in their vocabulary depth test. To compare the test scores between males and females under the assumption of not equal variance, t test was used in both groups.

Table 6.Independent Sample t-test for Gender and Reading Comprehension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error Difference</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>-2.354</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>-1.885</td>
<td>.800</td>
<td>-3.492 to -.277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results reported in table 6 reveal significant difference between males and females’ reading comprehension scores (t=-2.354, df =50, p < 0.05). This means that males and females have performed differently on the reading comprehension test. With regard to
the results of the above analyses, the variable gender has statistically significant effect on the performance of the participants either on the breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge of the study or on the reading comprehension. Such being the case, it can be claimed that the variable gender has effect on participants’ performance on reading comprehension or on the two aspects of vocabulary knowledge. So, H03 was rejected.

The Effect of Proficiency Level on Vocabulary Knowledge and Reading Comprehension

**Hypothesis 4:** The proficiency level does not have any effect on Iranian advanced/intermediate EFL learners’ reading performance and vocabulary knowledge.

In order to determine whether the variable proficiency level can have an effect on reading comprehension, and vocabulary knowledge, some further analyses of the data were performed. To make sure whether the variable proficiency level can have an effect on the other variables of the study, three independent Samples t-tests were performed. The result obtained from this analysis is depicted in the following tables.

Table 7 summarizes the results of the t-test for proficiency level and the vocabulary breadth test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error Difference</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breadth</td>
<td>-3.115</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>-10.346</td>
<td>3.321</td>
<td>-17.016 -3.676</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the table shows, there is statistically significant difference between intermediate and advanced proficiency levels on the vocabulary breadth test either (t=-3.115, df=50, p<0.05). In other words, proficiency level had effect on learners’ vocabulary breadth. To compare the test scores between the two groups, intermediate and advanced, independent t-test of equal variances was used. Table 8 summarizes the results of the t-test for proficiency level and the vocabulary depth test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error Difference</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>-3.786</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>-16.654</td>
<td>4.399</td>
<td>-25.489 -7.819</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the table shows, there is statistically significant difference between intermediate and advanced proficiency levels on the vocabulary depth test either (t=-3.786, df=50, p<0.05). In other words, proficiency level had effect on learners’ vocabulary depth. To compare the test scores between the two groups, intermediate and advanced, independent t-test of equal variances was used. Table 9 summarizes the results of the t-test for proficiency level on reading comprehension test.
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Table 9. Independent Sample t-test for Proficiency Level and Reading Comprehension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Std. Error Difference</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>-3.512</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>-2.654</td>
<td>.756</td>
<td>-4.172 to -1.136</td>
<td>-4.172</td>
<td>-1.136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the table shows, there is statistically significant difference between intermediate and advanced proficiency levels on reading comprehension test either \(t = -3.512, df =50, p<0.05\). In other words, proficiency level had an effect on reading comprehension. To compare the test scores between the two groups, the intermediate and advanced, independent t-test of equal variances was used. With regard to the results of the above analyses, the variable proficiency level has statistically significant effect on the performance of the participants either on the breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge of the study or on the reading comprehension. Such being the case, it can be claimed that the variable proficiency level has an effect on participants' performance on reading comprehension or on the two aspects of vocabulary knowledge. So, the fourth null hypothesis was rejected.

DISCUSSION

As seen above, the results obtained from the analysis of the data revealed that there is a relationship between vocabulary breadth and reading comprehension. There is also a relationship between vocabulary depth and reading comprehension. The results of the present study are directly in line with those of studies carried out by Huang (1999), Gelderen (2004), and Rouhi et al. (2013), who indicated that there is a positive relationship between vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension performance.

In connection with the relationship between breadth of vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension, the results of VLT revealed that there is a positive interrelation between these two variables. These outcomes support the results of studies carried out by Laufer (1996), and Sen and Kuleli (2015).

With regard to the relationship between depth of vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension, the results of WAT, representing the meaning and collocation components of depth of vocabulary knowledge, were highly and positively intercorrelated with the learners’ reading comprehension test. These results are in line with the studies done by Qian (2002), and Qian and Schedle (2004).

With regard to the variable gender, as the results indicate, it has a significant effect on the two dimensions of vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension of the study. In connection with the effect of gender on reading comprehension, and vocabulary knowledge, the results of the present study indicated that there is a significant difference between the males' and females' reading comprehension performance, and vocabulary knowledge. The results of the present study revealed that females are better at reading comprehension test and vocabulary knowledge tests than males. These results appear to support studies such as those conducted by Sallabas (2008), and Agustín and Gallego (2012). Sallabas (2008) reported that there is a big difference...
between two genders over reading comprehension and that females are better at reading comprehension than males. Agustín and Gallego (2012) reported that female learners showed higher vocabulary gains than males in receptive vocabulary size acquisition. But these results are in contrast with Young and Oxford’s (1997) study. The findings reveal showed no significant differences by gender in recall scores for all text topics. There were no differences by gender in the familiarity with passage topics of any of the passages.

With regard to the variable proficiency level, as the results indicate, it has a significant effect on the two dimensions of vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension of the study. In connection with the effect of proficiency level of participants' reading comprehension performance, and vocabulary knowledge, the results of the present study indicated that there is a significant difference between the intermediate and advanced level learners and reading comprehension performance, and vocabulary knowledge. The results of the present study revealed that advanced level learners are better at reading comprehension test and vocabulary knowledge tests than intermediate level learners. The results should therefore be regarded with caution, especially if one agrees that students at the intermediate levels are more likely to have more limited English language ability.

CONCLUSION

The findings of the present study show that there is a strong and positive correlation between breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension performance of the learners. Regarding the relationship between breadth of vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension, a positive correlation was found which indicated that by increasing the number of words that a learner knows, the learner can comprehend the text better. Respecting the relationship between vocabulary depth and reading comprehension, the results indicated that they are correlated which shows that the more a learner knows about the depth of vocabulary knowledge, the better a learner can recall the information from the text.

With respect to the effect of gender on the learners' reading comprehension performance and breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge, the results showed that there were significant differences between males and females. Females were better at doing vocabulary knowledge tests and reading comprehension test than males. Therefore, according to the results of the present study, gender differences play important roles in the learners' vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension performance of Iranian EFL learners.

As for the effect of language proficiency level on the learners' reading comprehension test and breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge tests, the results revealed that there were important differences between these two levels of language proficiency. Learners with the advanced level of language proficiency were better on vocabulary knowledge tests and reading comprehension test than learners with the intermediate language proficiency. Furthermore, by improving and upgrading the level of language
proficiency of learners, vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension performance will be improved. The more proficient the learners are, the better they can perform on tests. Therefore, according to the results of the current study, proficiency level differences play a key role in the learners’ vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension performance.

Consequently, breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge are two interrelated dimensions of vocabulary knowledge. Knowing rich vocabulary cannot assist learners a great deal if their comprehension is shallow. The findings of the current study revealed that breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge, and reading comprehension are positively correlated.

**IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY**

In this part, pedagogical implications of the present study with regard to EFL learners and teachers and material developers will be discussed.

English teachers should encourage their students to read storybooks, magazines, and newspapers in English. Hwang and Nation (1989) believed that storybooks, magazines and newspapers repeat some common words and technological terms related to a topic. Many repetitions reduce the lexical burden of the readers and actually help them to better extract information from the text they read.

EFL teachers and material developers should consider vocabulary depth and breadth as two vital components of an EFL syllabus to improve reading comprehension of students. Teachers should also introduce synonymy and polysemy of vocabularies, their primary meaning, and the collocations of words with each other due to the important roles that they play in comprehension of English texts. Teachers and material developers should consider the proficiency level of learners, help them to improve their proficiency level, and design activities based on learners’ proficiency level. The results of the present study also will help learners who intend to increase their vocabulary knowledge and improve their reading comprehension. An important point is that they must know that achieving their objectives depends on their own efforts. Therefore, students must start to develop the habit of independent reading as a source of entertainment and information. The results will help textbooks writers for developing English textbooks. Textbooks developers can select or write suitable texts and materials. They can also design activities after reading comprehension tests to help readers improve the depth and breadth of their knowledge of vocabulary.
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