Graffiti on the Walls: High School Students in Kenya
Communicating Their Social Issues

Gladys N. Kariuki
MA student, Laikipia University, Kenya

Felicia A. Yieke *
Associate Professor, Laikipia University, Kenya

Pauline N. Ndoro
Lecturer, Laikipia University, Kenya

Abstract
This paper investigated the use of graffiti by students in high schools in Kenya to bring out the issues that affect them. Specifically, it sought to establish the kind of social messages expressed by graffiti. Ten schools in an administrative County in Kenya were identified. A sample of graffiti texts in the schools chosen was collected and analysed. Fifty students and twenty teachers were also randomly selected and interviewed. Thereafter, the data was coded and analyzed using Critical Discourse Analysis by Norman Fairclough (1989) and a model of stylistic analysis by Geoffrey Leech (1991). It was found that the students used graffiti as a way of expressing their social issues which are of various kinds. It was concluded that students write on the wall as a way of articulating genuine concerns and issues that they would never openly discuss with the school authority thus making Graffiti a useful means of communication.
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INTRODUCTION

Wall writings have been used since time immemorial by various groups of people in the society who in one way or another feel muffled up (Nwoye, 1993). Such groups of people who are prohibited from, or denied avenues of public expression seek other outlets, with graffiti on walls of public places being a favoured option. Student population in most parts of the world is seen as one such group that does not enjoy the privilege of public self-expression. According to Rothman (2002), adolescence, the stage of growth that secondary school students are in is a natural time of resistance to authority. On their part, the adolescents are largely misunderstood in the dominantly adult-run society which dictates social structure. This consequently creates a distance...
between the adolescents and the adults, causing the former to act out in opposition to the authoritative adult society that restricts them.

Students in secondary schools react and respond to the school authority in a similar way. They do not always have legitimate avenues of self-expression, and may often not even take part in making decisions on matters affecting them, leave alone air their opinions regarding such matters openly. One channel that affords them the chance and forum to do so is the practice of writing graffiti which enables them to express their thoughts, fears, interests, attitudes and opinions regarding the world around them. The fact that graffiti provide them the privacy and anonymity necessary to fully express themselves without fear of the likely consequences makes this alternative appealing. Writing graffiti therefore provides the author with the opportunity, safety and unlimited freedom to express him or herself on a matter of interest and/or concern. In this way, graffiti can be studied in terms of their being a portrayal of current social issues among students.

The objective of this study was to establish the social messages expressed by graffiti. We thus interrogated the question of why the students used graffiti on their school walls as well as how they did it. These were done against the backdrop of Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) by Fairclough (1989) and Leech’s Model of Stylistic Analysis (Leech, 1991). In the next section, the methodology used to carry out the research is discussed.

**REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Gadsby (1995) makes the observation that graffiti abound in the world around us. It is visible on almost every conceivable surface, such as public places as toilet and bathroom walls, narrow corridors in offices and buildings, in vehicles and other property to mention a few. Graffiti was found on walls and furniture in such places as classrooms, dormitories, dining halls and toilets. The study realized the importance of studying those texts with a view to understanding the different social messages they communicate. These graffiti texts range from cryptic writings, sketches or drawings, lone words, a few words, single utterances and even conversations. There are negative and positive views that people hold regarding graffiti.

**Negative Attitudes towards Graffiti**

According to Crystal (1997), many people regard graffiti as an unwanted nuisance or as expensive vandalism that must be repaired. It is often suggested that its presence contributes to a general sense of squalor and a heightened fear of crime. Cole (1991) also mentions that graffiti are often considered aimless Ramblings on a wall. On his part, Nwoye (1993) expressing the point of view of Nigerian University authorities, states that graffiti are usually perceived as a sheer expression of youth exuberance and a manifestation of vandalism. Alonso (1998) also observes that since graffiti is seen to be more prolific under authoritarian systems, they are viewed as disruptive and subversive, a view also supported by Crystal (1997), that graffiti is sometimes seen as
part of a sub-culture that rebels against extant societal authorities, or against authority as such.

Studies conducted in the US and Britain depict the authorities spending huge sums of money in making attempts to combat and muzzle graffiti writing by restricting and banning the sale of aerosol spray paint, imposing hefty fines on writers as well as making graffiti writing a criminal act through legislation. Their actions would be understood in consideration of Austin’s view (2001) that graffiti writing is not the cry of an anxious ego, eager to communicate joy or anger but the defiant snarl of a nuisance. In addition, Gadsby (1995) says that for some people, graffiti as a whole is a composite phenomenon, part childish prank, part adult insult and would therefore not be a serious enough field of study. For this group of people then, graffiti writing is simply a lifestyle, viewed as just amusing, merely entertaining or plainly annoying. This might explain the reason why the field is still largely unexplored, and especially in Kenya where only a few studies have been conducted on the subject.

Positive Attitudes towards Graffiti

Graffiti are viewed as an expressive medium, which, though unconventional act as an alternative form of communication that is both personal and free of everyday social restraints that prevent people from giving uninhibited reign to their thoughts (Abel & Buckley (1997). According to studies conducted in the USA, some universities now recognize the importance of graffiti in informing them of important social issues and problems at these institutions. They have thus formed ‘graffiti corners’ where students can freely write graffiti without fear of being accused of vandalism. The authorities then take photographs and videos to keep as records for future action and then repaint the surfaces for fresh graffiti. Yieke (2003) in a study conducted on graffiti in Kenyan universities, suggest that graffiti should never be ignored by those in authority if they want to know the sentiments and needs of the students. If well channelled, graffiti can provide a forum for students to express themselves in a wide range of topics. It would at the same time act as expressions of academic freedom and on-going intellectualism.

In addition, while stressing the positive ways in which graffiti can be used, Yieke (2006) argues that graffiti in the context of workplaces can act as communication avenues for women who are often voiceless and are in constant search for a voice of their own. They are seen to use graffiti to voice opinions, solicit advice, support, console and encourage each other, and sometimes call male dominance into question in a society that otherwise silences them. These views guided this paper in the interpretation of student graffiti not as expressions by idle people just wasting time, but as expressive modes for important issues among them. Alonso (1998) reiterates that graffiti is a tool of communication which is seen as constantly challenging the hegemonic discourses of the dominant. Nwoye (1993) on his part mentions that groups that have been prohibited from or denied avenues of public expression seek other outlets, with graffiti on walls of public places a favoured option; and he goes ahead to identify student population in most parts of the world as one such group. Mochama (2005) observes too, that schools, colleges, pubs, lodges and police cells seem to be the centre of institutional graffiti.
These views are quite relevant to this paper which treats students as the minority and oppressed group who use graffiti as an alternative medium of communication where other channels are not effective.

**THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

This study was guided by two theories: Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) by Norman Fairclough and Leech’s Model of Stylistic Analysis. Both are discussed in the next sections.

**Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) as a Theoretical Base for Analysis of Graffiti**

CDA is an interdisciplinary approach to the study of discourse that views language as a form of social practice and focuses on the ways social and political domination are reproduced in text and talk (Fairclough, 1989). The theory is founded on the idea that there is unequal access to linguistic and social resources that are controlled institutionally. It is therefore concerned with the analysis of structural relationships of dominance, discrimination, power and control as manifested in the use of language. Language can either be written or spoken. In this paper, written texts in the form of graffiti was analysed and treated as forms of social practices. CDA therefore operates on the assumption that when people use language (in speech or in writing) they do so in ways that are socially determined and which have social effects. CDA has basic tenets that were found useful to the current study. These are briefly discussed.

**Discourse Shapes and is also shaped by Society**

Language is an integral part of society. CDA does not just consider a text (graffiti text) as an isolated phenomenon, but is interested in the meaning that lies beyond the grammatical structure of the text. In its analysis of the texts and interactions, it begins from the social issues and problems that face people in their everyday social lives. This view was relevant to this study in that it provided an interpretive framework for graffiti writing as an event that is influenced by the social environment and as one that also affects it. Students are treated as people using language to express social issues and communicate problems they experience in schools. Each graffito was analysed and classified into one of the categories of social messages.

**Discourse is shaped by Dominance**

CDA is based on the premise that there is unequal access to linguistic and social resources. In any social structure with power imbalance, the dominant group exerts pressure on the dominated one, which is most likely to stage a resistance against the social order or the conventions that have otherwise become so naturalized and acceptable over time. This view guided the study in the analysis and interpretation of graffiti text as expressions by a marginalized group finding a voice to articulate their sentiments in a situation where they publicly would not. The school administration is treated as the dominant group that assumes authority and control and as a result
influences decision making which in turns limits the student’s freedom. The students consequently fall back on graffiti as a medium of expression in challenging this institutionalized dominance. A section of graffiti was actually found to target prefects, teachers and heads of institutions and questioning and criticising their leadership.

**Every Discourse is historically Produced and Interpreted**

Discourse is socially situated in time and place. Messages contained in any discourse have meaning only within their social environments. They reflect the underlying social conventions and express the nature of relationships people have with each other. This was particularly relevant to this study in that it was used by the researcher to identify the contextual information and issues that gave rise to graffiti. These issues and information helped in analysis and interpretation of student graffiti as relevant to the context and circumstances of school culture where students in anonymity speak and comment on issues they feel strongly about and against.

**Discourse is Ideological**

Dominant structures are made legitimate by the ideologies of powerful groups: These, Fairclough (1989) refers to as ‘...common sense assumptions which are implicit in the conventions according to which people interact linguistically and of which people are generally not consciously aware.’ In response to this, CDA plays an important role for developing the consciousness of those people who are dominated. This was applied to the current study in viewing graffiti as a reaction response and resistance to and against the institutionalized oppressive authority of the school administration. It guided the researcher in getting the responses and reactions of the teachers as well as of the students who are the larger audience and consumers of the graffiti.

**Leech’s Model of Stylistic Analysis of Language Use in Graffiti Texts**

Leech’s Model of Stylistic Analysis was also used especially in the analysis of language use in graffiti texts. Leech (1991) breaks language into three levels of organization.

**The Level of Realization**

The level of realisation deals with the rules of phonology (the rules of pronunciation) and graphology (the system of writing that incorporates punctuation, paragraphing and spelling). The phonological aspect which is exclusive to spoken language was not relevant to this study. Instead the graphological level was used in the analysis of graffiti texts which was collected in their written form. Graph logical features prevalent in the graffiti such as punctuation marks, use of capital letters and large letters were identified, described and their significance explained.

**The Level of Form**

The level of form comprises both the Lexicon (the choice of words) and Grammar (the rules of how words are combined and patterned together to form larger units of grammar). This level was relevant to this study as it guided the identification of the
choices of vocabulary used in the graffiti texts and established how they were arranged to form larger discourse units. Such features as use of single words, short forms of words, correct and incorrect syntactic structures as well as conversations were identified.

The Level of Semantics

This is concerned with the meaning of individual words, groups of words or larger units depending on how they are pieced together. These were used in the analysis and interpretation given to those graffiti expressions to result into the different social messages communicated by graffiti.

METHODOLOGY

Data collection techniques comprised the sampling of schools, respondents and graffiti texts. Sample schools were randomly selected from across the different divisions in the West Pokot County, which is one of the 47 Counties in Kenya. The sample consisted of ten schools which were treated as a representative sample of the about fifty schools in the county, and the results would be generalized to the entire province and to Kenyan schools in general. The target population was all the graffiti texts (both scribbles and sketches) in the selected schools. However, only one hundred texts were purposively selected (ten from each school) for analysis, and the choices were dependent on the different messages. Teachers in each school visited sampled a cross-section of ten students from the different forms. After reading through a list of ten graffiti texts provided by the researchers, they were involved in the collection of graffiti texts from various places in the school, and recording them on manila cards provided. They were instructed to record each of the texts in their original form.

The sketches were drawn resembling the original one as much as possible and tracings made where possible. This was necessary to help capture and retain the message intended by the graffitist. This was done alongside the researchers who not only also collected texts, but also verified them. This was done by randomly picking on a few texts collected by the students and re-visiting the places they indicated as the sources. The researchers also compared the texts with the ones she had to ascertain that they were similar.

Out of the ten students, five were randomly selected to take part in the subsequent interviews. Two teachers were also randomly selected in each school to participate in the interview that was recorded on audio tapes. Unstructured interviews were also used, with the researchers conducting the research in person. There were two interview schedules for students and teachers which had both open ended and close ended questions. The personal interview was advantageous since it involved physical contact and interaction with each respondent (Oso & Onen (2009). It created an opportunity for the respondent to clarify and elaborate on certain points, resulting in more information that was also detailed. The sample of five students and two teachers per school for the interview was also a convenient number and it was possible to work with them within
the stipulated time. Field notes were made on the social functioning of the different schools, the inter-relationships between the school authority and students as well as among students. The data collected was analyzed by use of statistical measurements and presented in tables. Explanations were also given for the frequencies and conclusions drawn from the findings.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The graffiti texts were obtained from classrooms, laboratories, dormitories, ablution blocks, dining halls and libraries as illustrated in table one below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Quantitative Analysis of Graffiti Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classrooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dormitory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ablution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dining Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The highest percentage of graffiti, 31 percent, was obtained from classrooms because classes were the most frequented areas. 28.5 percent of Graffiti was collected in the laboratories. 18 percent of the texts were found in the dormitories on walls, lockers and student boxes. Graffiti in the ablution block constituted 12 percent of all texts. Most obscenities and insults were found in those places. These include drawings of sexual organs, references to sex acts as well as abuses and threats to both fellow students and teachers. Texts collected in the dining hall constituted 9.5 percent. In the library, only 1 percent of texts were collected in the only two schools that had a library.

Social Messages in Graffiti

The research indicated students’ preferences in terms of the topics that were popular amongst them. These were love/sex, response to school authority, student welfare, drugs, religion, celebrities and politics in that order. Table 2 below presents the quantitative analysis of social messages in the graffiti texts, followed by a discussion on each.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Quantitative Analysis of Social Messages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love/Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Welfare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celebrities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Messages on Love and Sex

This study found out that issues related to love and sex got more wall space. They accounted for 28.5 percent of the total sample. Most of them were romantic in nature and the big majority sexual in tone and were quite embarrassing. Some were declarations of love for a member of the opposite sex and featured even the real names of those being talked about. Further analysis revealed that 71 percent of the graffiti sample on love and sex was found to directly talk about sex. Out of these, 8 percent commented on sexual organs. 57 percent discussed sexual performance, and 6 percent of these texts were about homosexuality. The remaining 6 percent were warnings of the consequences of engaging in sex. Common features noted by this research in sex-related graffiti were vulgarities and obscenities, and offensive language unlikely to be found in normal public discourse. Sex issues are taboo subjects in public debate, and words describing the act are mostly unmentionable. For example:

Example 1

I need a girl to ride ride ride, I nid a gal 2 s...w at nite, I nid a gal 2 b ma wife

This was a single text by three different authors, all male, sending out sexual invitations.

The remaining 29 percent of the graffiti sample on love and sex discussed love related issues, especially between the sexes. Some love proclamations are poetic and riddled with exaggerated flattery, characteristic of love poetry as in the following examples:

Example 2

You are the only bean in my githeri (mixture of maize and beans).

An only 'bean' in a plate is definitely very precious and cherished.

Others messages express the concentration and intensity of the emotion referred to as love as the following texts exemplify. The two texts were collected from different schools as follows:

Example 3

She is driving me crazy and Mad about him.

Example 4

Remain to luv ya.

Rivalry and competition for lovers is a common feature in the graffiti. While some writers simply declare their feelings and even name their targets, others sound warnings that interferences in their territory would result in dire consequences for the aggressors as shown in the following two examples:
Example 5
Usilete kompe kwa manzi wangu (translated as Don’t try to compete with me for my girlfriend).

Example 6
Felisutus is my rising sun. Usimhanye (Felisutus is my rising sun. Do not try to snatch her).

Commentaries on the consequences associated with a broken love relationship are also evident as in the following example:

Example 7
Tis painful to lose a boyfriend

Multiple loves are abhorred just like casual and non-serious lovers who do not show a sense of commitment. This is exemplified below.

Example 8
Wacha uhanyaji Diana, Peris Jenet monos and still you want to hook up with me. (Stop having multiple affairs, Diana, Peris, Jenet form ones and still you want an affair with me.)

Those students who don’t have interest in such affairs have reasons for their standpoint as captured in the following one-word graffito.

Example 9
L - Lake of fire
O - Ocean of tears
V - Valley of death
E - End of life

Example 9 is an acknowledgement that though the feeling of love is good, it has its negative side, not just the heart-breaks. It burns and consumes the individual like a fire, brings suffering and could even result into death. Unwanted pregnancies, poor performance, sexually transmitted diseases and death are just a few of such negative consequences that secondary school students in Kenya have had to deal with.

Messages on School Authority

Students were found to express various attitudes towards the school authorities, namely the Principal, who is the head of the institution, the deputy, teachers and prefects Students who perceive themselves as a minority oppressed group use graffiti for mutual bonding, and they are in solidarity against an oppressed group, which in this research are the teachers and prefects. This agrees with the findings of Nwoye (1993, p.
research on graffiti in Nigerian universities, which established that students use graffiti to articulate their views when they are denied the means of doing so. The heads of institutions are the symbols of supreme authority in the school.

The school rules found in all schools visited spelt out the things the students should and should not do, and they expressed their displeasure that some were too draconian and they were not subject to debate let alone alteration. Punishments including suspension for a period of time were treated with hostility. As a result, the disciplinarian always had himself or herself targeted, and since the students could not openly express their sentiments for fear of worse consequences, they addressed them in the graffiti as exemplified in the following example:

**Example 10**

Kodemuk must allow Colombos. Say no to Muk’s katiba (Kodemuk must allow wide-bottomed trousers. Say no to Muk’s constitution).

The choice of the lexical item ‘katiba’ to mean the constitution and in the school context a set of rules was appropriately used. The data was collected at the height of the historic national debate on the referendum where Kenyans were fighting for a new constitutional order. The graffiti which was written in the laboratory where all students went to at least once a week ensured a wide audience. In this way, then, graffiti serves a protest function, and the use of the word must be categorical that the protesters don’t want it differently and are ready to fight against it if need be. Graffiti also play a threat function. They are used to give ominous threats and ultimatums and in this way warn that action will be taken if their demands are not met. Graffiti become a public forum which communicates social unrest, even among writers who may not know each other personally. Students were found to use graffiti to issue such threats as evidenced below.

**Example 11**

We want a new bus by Jan. If not we strike

The text was found engraved on a notice-board outside the administration block where the daily newspapers and official notices were pinned for the students to read. The school only had a van and students had on earlier occasions expressed their wish to have a new bus. The use of want explicitly expresses their demand that has a deadline and a consequence if not met.

Graffiti was also found to be used to question the decisions and actions taken by the administration, and at times even the moral authority that teachers assumed over the students. This is shown in the following text:

**Example 12**

2000 DIARY. On 25th Feb. Friday 2000 we are expecting a midterm, but it never been.
This text was found in a classroom. It provides evidence to the fact that graffiti texts enjoy some relative permanence and is an indication that painting and repairs in public buildings are not undertaken regularly.

Students treat the midterm break as a right. At the time, (before the Ministry of Education gave a policy guideline that students should be allowed to go home), parents were allowed to visit their children as an alternative, but students always preferred the former. A cancellation caused a lot of problems that saw the particular school close prematurely.

**Attitudes towards Prefects**

Graffiti expressing such sentiments was found, and they were also characterized by threats and warnings.

**Example 13**

Cruel prefect – Be aware.

This is an ungrammatical version of cruel prefect. ‘Beware’ is an ominous and stern threat to a strict prefect who though not named is well understood. This always angered the students who accused prefects of fraternizing with the authorities, further supported by the following text. Graffiti as seen was used by the students to articulate their views on the power hierarchy. While prefects were expected to be the link between them and the administration, they were seen as enemies of the student fraternity and were objects of their frustration at the oppression they felt they suffered in the hands of the administration.

**Messages on Student Welfare**

Students’ views regarding the services provided by the school in terms of food, accommodation, and recreation among others accounted for 22 percent of the graffiti collected. School infrastructure had its share of criticism in graffiti. Some of the labels given to certain buildings expressed the attitudes, students had about them. The following text found in an ordinary class used as a lab is evidence:

**Example 14**

The lab is fake.

The choice of the word ‘fake’ shows disapproval and is a factual comment on the state of the object.

**Messages on Drugs**

Graffiti to the effect that some students abused drugs was found, and constituted 11 percent of the total number of texts collected. Drinking alcohol and smoking (especially marijuana) and even peddling it were evidenced in the graffiti as illustrated in the following text:
Example 15

Drink keroro n na ufil difference (Drink keroro and you note the difference)

‘Keroro’ is a general term for the cheap brews available on the market which are affordable and readily available. It now refers to all alcoholic drinks. The graffitist encourages others to sample it and find out for themselves that it is different, may be from and better than other alcoholic brands taken before.

Messages on Religion

Seven percent (7%) of the graffiti expressed the students’ religious beliefs. Some were plain declarations of love for Jesus who they considered the founder of their faith and the reciprocal belief that Jesus loves them as illustrated in the following texts respectively, which were collected in different schools:

Example 16

I love Jesus and Jesus loves you.

Others were seen to acknowledge divine presence and supremacy in the life of the believer for example:

Example 17

God is good and God’s above everything. Yet others sent warnings though the specific reasons why were not given for example:

Example 18

Remember God is a burning fire! Watch out!

Messages on Celebrities

Student graffiti was found to be characterized by names of events, games and personalities they adored 2.5 percent of the graffiti was on these and included names of musicians, wrestlers and the popular teams and their players. However, only a representative sample was collected since it was not possible within the constraints of the study to incorporate all these names. A few examples are cited for example:

Example 19

Man U is da name Man U

Example 19 is a shortened form of Manchester United, one of the popular English Premier League football teams, and the graffitist acknowledges it as his favourite. A lot of writing on, not only this team, but also of others like Arsenal, Vodafone, Mathare United and Chelsea featured with a very high frequency. This was interpreted to mean that students were influenced and affected by events happening outside the precincts of the school and in the wider society. This also influenced associations and relationships
among the students who at times got together because of their common interests. Names of musicians that were popular among the youth on both the local and international scene also featured in the graffiti, for example: Harry Kimani and Nonini handsome as the best musicians.

**Messages on Politics**

Graffiti discussing politics was a meagre one percent. The prevailing political event at the time data for this study was being collected was a debate on the constitutional review and a referendum that was supposed to take place. Inevitably, it found itself as a subject for discussion in the graffiti though not in a big way. Very few secondary school students have reached the voting age of eighteen years and above when one can successfully apply for a national identity card that gives them legibility to participate in national elections. The fact that they were also in school limited their active participation in the debate outside school. As a result, the topic wasn't given the time and wall space that other previously discussed topics got.

It is evident from the findings of this research that graffiti cover a wide range of issues and topics. Student graffiti is a genuine effort to reach out to the world, and reading them would help to decipher some of the youth styles, their likes and dislikes, and in the process improve the relationship existing between them and the adults. Graffiti are therefore not student rubbish and nonsense as found in this study and earlier also observed by Mochama (2005).

**CONCLUSION**

The paper concludes that students write on the wall as a way of articulating genuine concerns and issues that they would never openly discuss with the school authority. The study revealed that issues and events that affected the students were prevalent in graffiti. Sentiments of love and sex were dominant, considering the fact that the majority of secondary school students are in the adolescent stage characterized by sexual maturity and awareness. Further, rebellion and resistance against any established authority are also common features of this stage. Graffiti captured this through messages of protest at what students considered forms of oppression by the school administrative hierarchy. Other issues raised included student welfare, drug and substance abuse, religion, hero worship and the prevailing political climate in the country at the time. It is felt that paying attention to graffiti therefore goes a long way in understanding student thinking and improving the interrelationships between them and the administration.

**RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH**

The findings of this study suggest that the analysis of graffiti writings in secondary schools can provide valuable information about the nature of our institutions. Based on the findings and conclusions of this study, three recommendations are made. The first is that graffiti writing should be recognized by school administrations as an important communicative strategy. Schools should therefore designate particular places for graffiti
writing by students. Secondly, social issues raised by students in graffiti that allude to dissatisfaction and unhappiness in the school should be addressed by school administration to ensure that school terms are run peacefully without student unrests and school strikes. Finally, school administration in Kenya should allow students to play a participatory role in the management of schools. This should include allowing the students to choose prefects of their own in a democratic way instead of imposing prefects on them to run the schools.

Suggestions for further research include the following:

1. Research should be carried out on the impact of ‘Sheng’ (which is a type of slang spoken in widely by the youth in Kenya and is a mixture of Swahili, English and local vernaculars) on the student performance in both English and Kiswahili in secondary schools.

2. A comparative study could be conducted to establish whether there are similarities and differences between graffiti written in primary schools and secondary schools and even at the universities in Kenya.

3. Research could also be carried out to establish the cause in shift in location of graffiti with vulgar language in schools from the toilets to the laboratories. Most of them were found in laboratories rather than in the toilets, which was rather surprising.
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